

Some observations about the MOLSCAT

M.K. Sharma^a, Monika Sharma^a, and Suresh Chandra^{b1}

^aSchool of Studies in Physics, Jiwaji University, Gwalior 474 011 (M.P.), India

^bPhysics Department, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara 144411 (Punjab), India

Emails:mohitkumarsharma32@yahoo.in; monika3273@yahoo.in; suresh492000@yahoo.co.in

Abstract. For calculation of cross sections for collisional transitions between rotational levels in a molecule, a computer code, MOLSCAT has been developed by Hutson & Green (1994). For the transitions between rotational levels in H₂CS due to collisions with He atom, we have calculated cross sections under the CS approximation. In the MOLSCAT, there is provision to input more than one values of total energies. Here, for example, we are interested in the cross sections for total energy 11 cm⁻¹. The calculations have been done for the single energy 11 cm⁻¹ and for eight combinations, having energies (11, 12), (12, 11), (10, 11), (11, 10), (11, 12, 13), (9, 10, 11), (10, 11, 12), (9, 10, 11, 12, 13) cm⁻¹. We have found that the cross sections for 11 cm⁻¹, in general, differ from one another in all the 9 calculations. The reason for the difference in the results appears that the MOLSCAT uses the intermediate data of calculations for one energy, in the calculations for other energies. Under such circumstances, the possible suggestion can be to run the MOLSCAT for a single energy at a time.

Key words. ISM: Molecules; Collisional transitions; MOLSCAT

1 Introduction

In most of the cosmic objects, spectral lines of molecules are formed under non-thermal conditions and for analysis of spectrum, the radiative and collisional transition probabilities for the transitions between rotational levels of the molecule are essentially required. For the calculation of scattering cross sections for collisional transitions, the first requirement is to calculate the interaction potential between the molecule of interest and the collision partner. Being the most abundant in the interstellar medium, the hydrogen molecule H₂ is taken as the colliding partner. Because of two hydrogen atoms, the H₂ has two species, called the ortho (parallel spins) and para (anti-parallel spins). Thus, one needs to consider the collisions between the molecule of interest and para-H₂ (in the $J = 0$ state) or ortho-H₂ (in the $J = 1$ state).

The molecule of interest may be a linear molecule (diatomic molecule), symmetric top

¹corresponding author

molecule or asymmetric top molecule. Large number of molecules found in the cosmic objects belong to the category of asymmetric top molecules. Treatment of an asymmetric top molecule is rather complicated, as there is no preferential direction. The molecule of our interest, the thioformaldehyde H_2CS , is asymmetric top molecule.

Often, for simplification of calculations, the molecular hydrogen (collision partner) is taken as structure-less, and has been replaced by the He atom, as both the H_2 and He have two protons and two electrons, and the interaction depends on the charges. In the present discussion, we have considered the collision between the H_2CS and He.

In section 2, the interaction potential between the H_2CS and He has been calculated. Section 3 has been devoted for the calculations for collisional cross sections with the help of MOLSCAT. In the last section 4, we have discussed about the results and the conclusions have been drawn.

2 Interaction potential

For calculation of cross sections for collisional transitions between rotational levels with the help of MOLSCAT, one requires the interaction potential between the molecule of interest and the collision partner. For calculation of the interaction potential between H_2CS and He, as the first step, the geometry of the H_2CS molecule has been optimized with the help of GAUSSIAN 2003 and the coordinates of atoms in the H_2CS are obtained. The H_2CS is a planar molecule with electric dipole moment along the axis having the lowest moment of inertia.

Then, we have included the He atom whose positions have been expressed in terms of the spherical polar coordinates (R, θ, ϕ) with origin at the center-of-mass of H_2CS . For the interaction between H_2CS and He, we have used the Coupled Cluster with Single and Double and perturbative Triple CCSD(T) method and cc-pVTZ basis set. In order to account for the Basis Set Superposition Errors (BSSE) (Sharma *et al.*, 2014a, b; 2015), we have done three sets of calculations:

- (i) energy E_1 of $\text{H}_2\text{CS} + \text{He}$.
- (ii) energy E_2 of H_2CS while He is present as a ghost atom.
- (iii) energy E_3 of He while all the atoms of H_2CS are present as ghost atoms.

There are 106 basis functions, 204 primitive gaussians, 119 cartesian basis functions. The interaction potential $V(R, \theta, \phi)$ between the H_2CS and He is then

$$V(R, \theta, \phi) = E_2(R, \theta, \phi) + E_3(R, \theta, \phi) - E_1(R, \theta, \phi)$$

Table 1: H₂CS-He interaction potential in cm⁻¹.

R (Å)	v_{00}	v_{10}	v_{20}	v_{22}	v_{30}	v_{32}
2.25	52115.61	-34010.48	47297.66	-6818.03	-22737.70	9602.23
2.50	23789.73	-15582.89	21426.39	-3027.45	-10256.35	4016.18
2.75	10490.22	-6937.04	9373.59	-1364.29	-4506.79	1729.56
3.00	4431.47	-2931.71	3928.66	-598.34	-1905.54	715.10
3.25	1787.34	-1177.20	1579.96	-254.33	-779.49	280.10
3.50	681.02	-449.18	609.07	-105.13	-310.85	103.56
3.75	237.12	-160.60	222.77	-42.20	-121.20	35.63
4.00	67.18	-50.97	74.92	-16.17	-45.83	10.70
4.25	6.66	-11.77	21.12	-5.66	-16.41	2.10
4.50	-11.84	0.65	3.10	-1.58	-5.26	-0.46
4.75	-15.19	3.48	-2.03	-0.14	-1.26	-0.89
5.00	-13.69	3.32	-2.88	0.30	0.02	-0.74
5.25	-11.08	2.55	-2.53	0.34	0.35	-0.51
R (Å)	v_{40}	v_{42}	v_{44}	v_{50}	v_{52}	v_{54}
2.25	2142.69	-19887.50	1152.16	6949.83	12372.54	-2420.97
2.50	1259.07	-8181.70	413.10	2480.66	4807.46	-851.89
2.75	377.35	-3557.91	167.63	1134.88	2029.72	-349.71
3.00	56.16	-1523.69	67.94	525.16	848.60	-144.78
3.25	-22.72	-635.48	26.52	234.40	345.14	-58.10
3.50	-28.50	-259.23	9.84	100.89	137.07	-22.45
3.75	-20.23	-104.16	3.43	42.73	53.74	-8.42
4.00	-12.32	-41.10	1.09	18.05	20.84	-3.02
4.25	-6.80	-15.59	0.24	7.44	7.80	-0.97
4.50	-3.42	-5.47	-0.03	2.85	2.71	-0.24
4.75	-1.60	-1.65	-0.08	1.00	0.81	0.01
5.00	-0.65	-0.30	-0.06	0.29	0.16	0.05
5.25	-0.26	0.09	-0.05	0.06	-0.03	0.06

The interaction potential $V(R, \theta, \phi)$ has been calculated for $R = 2.25$ (0.25) 5.25 Å, $\theta = 0^\circ$ (15°) 180° and $\phi = 0^\circ$ (15°) 90°. The calculated potential has been fitted in terms of the spherical harmonics with the help of the expression:

$$V(R, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{lm} \frac{v_{lm}(R)}{(1 + \delta_{m0})} [Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) + (-1)^m Y_{l-m}(\theta, \phi)]$$

where the azimuthal quantum number l has been allowed to vary for the integer values from 0 to 5. For a given value of l , the magnetic quantum number m could assume even integer values from 0 to l . The values of the expansion coefficients $v_{lm}(R)$ as a function of R are given in Table 1.

For the present investigation, the accuracy of interaction potential does not matter. However, an interaction potential is required. This interaction potential has been used as input in the computer code MOLSCAT. When the interaction potential is not appropriate

for the MOLSCAT, the MOLSCAT does not converge and no output is produced. For example, in the calculations of Green (1980) and Palma & Green (1987), the BSSE were not considered. When the BSSE are considered, the potential would definitely be different. The MOLSCAT had given results for that potential and would give for new potential also.

3 Calculations with MOLSCAT

The MOLSCAT has provision to do calculations under the Infinite Order Sudden (IOS) approximation, Coupled States (CS) approximation, and Close Coupling (CC) approach. For scattering in an asymmetric top molecule, these three approaches can be invoked by choosing the value of ITYPE as 106, 26 and 6, respectively, in the input file for the MOLSCAT. In the IOS approximation, the energies of rotational levels in the molecule are neglected in comparison to the energy of the collision partner. Therefore, it is valid for high energies of collision partner. Consequently, the the scientists prefer to use the CS approximation which is valid for all energies of collision partner.

Though the CC approximation is better than the CS approximation, but it is too expensive from the computation point of view. A calculations in the CC approximation takes many times more computer time as compared to that in the CS approximation. In a large number of calculations, the CS and CC approximations have been used. Some of the papers where such calculations have been done are: Cernicharo *et al.* (2011), Daniel *et al.* (2014; 2015), Dubernet *et al.* (2006), Dumouchel *et al.* (2010), Faure & Josselin (2008), Faure *et al.* (2012), Flower & Lique (2015), Gotoum *et al.* (2011), Machin & Roueff (2006, 2007), Pottage *et al.* (2004), Rabli & Flower (2010a, b; 2011), Sarrasin *et al.* (2010), Troscompt *et al.* (2009), Wernli *et al.* (2006, 2007a, b), Wiesenfeld & Faure (2013), Wiesenfeld *et al.* (2011).

In the present work, for example, we are interested in the cross sections for total energy 11 cm^{-1} . The calculations have been done under the CS approximation (ITYPE = 26) where the basis set with JMAX = 14 is used. In the MOLSCAT, there is a provision to input more than one values of total energies. In the input file, NNRG is the number of total energies included in the input file. The calculations have been done for the single energy 11 cm^{-1} and for eight combinations, (11, 12), (12, 11), (10, 11), (11, 10), (11, 12, 13), (9, 10, 11), (10, 11, 12), and (9, 10, 11, 12, 13) cm^{-1} , as given in Table 2. In Table 2, column 3 gives the number of energies (NNRG) given in the input file. The energies and their sequence are given in column 4.

The cross sections for different sets of energies are denoted by C1, C2, ..., C9. In C1, the MOLSCAT is run for the single energy 11 cm^{-1} . In C2 and C3, and in C4 and C5,

Table 2: Parameters			
No.	Combination	NNRG	ENERGY (cm ⁻¹)
1	C1	1	11
2	C2	2	11, 12
3	C3	2	12, 11
4	C4	2	10, 11
5	C5	2	11, 10
6	C6	3	11, 12, 13
7	C7	3	9, 10, 11
8	C8	3	10, 11, 12
9	C9	5	9, 10, 11, 12, 13

the sequence of energies have been reversed. In C6, two energies are after the 11 cm⁻¹ and in C7, two energies are before the 11 cm⁻¹. In C8, one energy before and one energy after the 11 cm⁻¹ have been taken. In C9, two energies before and two energies after the 11 cm⁻¹ are taken. One may consider other combinations also. We assume that these combinations are sufficient for our investigation. All the parameters (except NNRG and ENERGY) in the input file for all the combinations are the same.

The cross sections have been calculated with the help of MOLSCAT. In Table 3, we have given the cross sections for 11 cm⁻¹. Up to 11 cm⁻¹ in H₂CS, there are four para levels (0₀₀, 1₀₁, 2₀₂, 3₀₃) and two ortho levels (1₁₁, 1₁₀). The ortho and para species of H₂CS behave as they are two distinct molecules, as there are no transitions between them. Thus, there are 12 (excitations + deexcitations) transitions between the para levels and 2 (excitations + deexcitation) transitions between the ortho levels. The cross sections show random values.

In order to understand the range of variation in nine combinations, for each transition, we have chosen the maximum cross-section C_{max} and the minimum cross-section C_{min} , and have calculated the percent variation P of C_{max} relative to C_{min} as

$$P = \frac{C_{max} - C_{min}}{C_{min}} \times 100$$

For example, for the transition 0₀₀ → 1₀₁, we have $C_{max} = 23.611$ and $C_{min} = 14.809$. The value of P for each transition is given in the last column of Table 3.

4 Discussion and conclusions

First, we have to state that we have no comment on the the papers where CS and CC approximations have been used. The only point to be discussed here is that we have found different cross sections for the same energy (11 cm⁻¹) when different combinations, which

Table 3: Cross sections for various transitions in H₂CS for total energy 11 cm⁻¹ in 9 combinations and percent variation P .

Transition	Cross sections in Å ²									P
	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	
0 ₀₀ → 1 ₀₁	16.737	14.809	21.488	18.060	16.737	17.836	23.611	16.946	23.032	59.4
0 ₀₀ → 2 ₀₂	8.280	9.175	8.999	9.326	8.280	11.042	7.636	9.253	7.666	44.6
0 ₀₀ → 3 ₀₃	19.136	19.148	19.898	19.299	19.136	20.372	19.222	19.991	20.690	8.1
1 ₀₁ → 0 ₀₀	6.227	5.510	7.995	6.720	6.227	6.636	8.785	6.305	8.569	59.4
0 ₀₀ → 2 ₀₂	8.280	9.175	8.999	9.326	8.280	11.042	7.636	9.253	7.666	44.6
0 ₀₀ → 3 ₀₃	19.136	19.148	19.898	19.299	19.136	20.372	19.222	19.991	20.690	8.1
1 ₀₁ → 0 ₀₀	6.227	5.510	7.995	6.720	6.227	6.636	8.785	6.305	8.569	59.4
1 ₀₁ → 2 ₀₂	24.205	24.112	32.670	27.365	24.205	23.498	29.672	26.995	27.149	39.0
1 ₀₁ → 3 ₀₃	12.348	11.737	11.836	11.772	12.348	9.631	12.575	11.673	13.476	39.9
1 ₁₁ → 1 ₁₀	38.799	40.058	73.123	39.529	38.799	40.875	43.286	40.311	45.526	88.5
1 ₁₀ → 1 ₁₁	40.759	42.082	76.818	41.526	40.759	42.940	45.473	42.347	47.826	88.5
2 ₀₂ → 0 ₀₀	2.408	2.668	2.617	2.712	2.408	3.211	2.221	2.691	2.229	44.6
2 ₀₂ → 1 ₀₁	18.919	18.847	25.536	21.389	18.919	18.367	23.192	21.100	21.220	39.0
2 ₀₂ → 3 ₀₃	38.157	36.981	29.993	35.978	31.286	33.465	32.927	42.974	30.286	43.3
3 ₀₃ → 0 ₀₀	7.281	7.285	7.571	7.342	7.281	7.751	7.313	7.606	7.872	8.1
3 ₀₃ → 1 ₀₁	12.627	12.002	12.104	12.037	12.627	9.848	12.859	11.936	13.781	39.9
3 ₀₃ → 2 ₀₂	49.919	48.381	39.238	47.069	40.931	43.781	43.077	56.222	39.623	43.3

depend on the direction also, having 11 cm⁻¹ are considered.

Except one pair (between 3₀₃ and 2₀₂), for other pairs, the cross sections for C1 and C5 are equal. Other values of cross sections show random variation. It is obvious that the value of P is the same for excitation and deexcitation between a pair of levels. It supports the detailed equilibrium where the rate coefficients for collisional excitation and deexcitation are proportional to each other. The value of P for ortho transitions is 88.5. It is quite high and shows that the cross sections can vary up to almost a factor of 2. It may be because the separation between the levels is very small.

To some extent, the value of P is found to decrease with the increase of separation between the levels. However, it is not the case for all the transitions. In absence of any trend shown by the cross sections, it is difficult to draw any legitimate conclusions. However, it may be suggested that one should calculate the cross sections for a single value of energy (NNRG = 1) with the help of the MOLSCAT. It would avoid the possibility of using intermediate data for the calculations for one energy into the calculations for other energy.

One may still ask if doing the calculations with MOLSCAT for a single value of energy (NNRG = 1) is sufficient. We do not find ourselves qualified to make any comment about it. Probably some one who knows more details about the MOLSCAT may answer about

it. Since there is no substitute for the MOLSCAT, one has to depend on the MOLSCAT.

References

- Cernicharo, J., Spielfiedel, A., Balanca, C., Dayou, F., Senent, M.-L., Feautier, N., Faure, A., Cressiot-Vincent, L., Wiesenfeld, L. & Pardo, J.R., 2011. Collisional excitation of sulfur dioxide in cold molecular clouds. *A&A*, 531, A103 (9pp).
- Daniel F., Faure A., Wiesenfeld L., Roueff E., Lis D.C. & Hily-Blant P., 2014. Collisional excitation of singly deuterated NH_2D by H_2 . *MNRAS*, 444, 2544 - 2554.
- Daniel F., Faure A., Dagdigian P.J., Dubernet M.-L., Lique F. & Forets G.P., 2015. Collisional excitation of water by hydrogen atoms. *MNRAS*, 446, 2312 - 2316.
- Dubernet M.-L., Daniel F., Grosjean A., Faure A., Valiron P., Wernli M., Wiesenfeld L., Rist C., Noga J. & Tennyson J., 2006. Influence of a new potential energy surface on the rotational (de)excitation of H_2O by H_2 at low temperature. *A&A*, 460, 323 - 329.
- Dumouchel, F., Faure, A. & Lique, F., 2010. The rotational excitation HCN and HNC by He: temperature dependence of the collisional rate coefficients. *MNRAS*, 406, 2488 - 2492.
- Faure A. & Josselin E., 2008. Collisional excitation of water in warm astrophysical media. I. Rate coefficients for rovibrationally excited states. *A&A*, 492, 257 - 264.
- Faure A., Wiesenfeld L., Scribano Y. & Ceccarelli C., 2012. Rotational excitation of mono- and doubly-deuterated water by hydrogen molecules. *MNRAS*, 420, 699 - 704.
- Flower D.R. & Lique F., 2015. Excitation of the hyperfine levels of ^{13}CN and C^{15}N in collisions with H_2 at low temperatures. *MNRAS*, 446, 1750 - 1755.
- Gotoum N., Nkem C., Hammami K., Ahamat Charfadine M., Owono Owono L.C. & Jaidane N.-E., 2011. Rotational excitation of aluminium monofluoride (AlF) by He atom at low temperature. *Astr. Space Sci.*, 332, 209 - 217.
- Green, S., 1980. Collisional excitation of interstellar molecules: Water, *ApJS*, 42, 103 - 141.

- Hutson J.M., Green S., MOLSCAT computer code, version 14 (1994) distributed by Collaborative Computational Project No. 6 of the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (UK).
- Machin L. & Roueff E., 2006. Collisional excitation of mono deuterated ammonia NH_2D by helium, *A&A*, 460, 953 - 958.
- Machin L. & Roueff E., 2007. Collisional excitation of doubly deuterated ammonia ND_2H by helium, *A&A*, 465, 647 - 650.
- Palma, A. & Gree, S., 1987. Collisional excitation of an asymmetric rotor, Silicon carbide, *ApJ*, 316, 830 - 835.
- Pottage J.T., Flower D.R. & Davcis S.L., 2004. The rotational excitation of methanol by para-hydrogen. *MNRAS*, 352, 39 - 43.
- Rabli D. & Flower D.R., 2010a. The rotational structure of methanol and its excitation by helium, *MNRAS*, 403, 2033 - 2044.
- Rabli D. & Flower D.R., 2010b. The rotational excitation of methanol by molecular hydrogen. *MNRAS*, 406, 95 - 101.
- Rabli D. & Flower D.R., 2011. Rotationally and torsionally inelastic scattering of methanol on helium. *MNRAS*, 411, 2093 - 2098.
- Sarrasin E., Abdallah D.B., Wernli M., Faure A., Cernicharo J. & Lique F., 2010. The rotational excitation of HCN and HNC by He: new insights on the HCN/HNC abundance ratio in molecular clouds. *MNRAS*, 404, 518 - 526.
- Sharma M., Sharma M.K., Verma U.P. & Chandra S., 2014a. Collisional rates for rotational transitions in H_2CO and their application. *Adv. Space Res.*, 54, 252 - 260.
- Sharma M.K., Sharma M., Verma U.P. & Chandra S., 2014b. Collisional excitation of vinylidene (H_2CC). *Adv. Space Res.*, 54, 1963 - 1971.
- Sharma M.K., Sharma M., Verma U.P. & Chandra S., 2015. Collisional excitation of thioformaldehyde and Silylidene. *Adv. Space Res.*, 55, 434 - 439.
- Troscompt N., Faure A., Wiesenfeld L., Ceccarelli C. & Valiron P., 2009. Rotational excitation for formaldehyde by hydrogen molecules: ortho- H_2CO at low temperature. *A&A*, 493, 687 - 696.

- Wernli M., Valiron P., Faure A., Wiesenfeld L., Jankowski P. & Szalewicz K., 2006. Improved low-temperature rate constants for rotational excitation of CO by H₂. A&A, 446, 367 - 372.
- Wernli M., Wiesenfeld L., Faure A. & Valiron P., 2007a. Rotational excitation of HC₃N by H₂ and He at low temperature. A&A, 464, 1147 - 1154.
- Wernli M., Wiesenfeld L., Faure A. & Valiron P., 2007b. Rotational excitation of HC₃N by H₂ and He at low temperature. A&A, 475, 391 - 391.
- Wiesenfeld L. & Faure A., 2013. Rotational quenching of H₂CO by molecular hydrogen: cross sections, rates and pressure broadening, MNRAS, 432, 2573 - 2578.
- Wiesenfeld L., Scifoni E., Faure A. & Roueff E., 2011. Collisional excitation of doubly deuterated ammonia ND₂H by para-H₂, MNRAS, 413, 509 - 513.